1	JEFFREY A. ROSENFELD (SBN 13689	96) JS-6
2	jeffrey.rosenfeld@dlapiper.com DLA PIPER LLP	
3	2000 Avenue of the Stars	
4	Suite 400 North Tower Los Angeles, California 90067	
5	Tel: 310-595-3000 Fax: 310-595-3300	
6	STEVEN A. ZALESIN (admitted pro ha	c vice)
7	sazalesin@pbwt.com PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TY	LER LLP
8	1133 Avenue of the Americas	
9	New York, New York 10036 Tel: 212-336-2000	
10	Fax: 212-336-2222	
11	Attorneys for Defendant, THE COCA COLA COMPANY	
12	THE COCA COLA COMPANT	
13		
14		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
15 16		
	CENTRAL DISTRI POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware	
16	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs.	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW
16 17 18 19	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE COCA COLA COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 100,	CT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:08-cv-06237-SJO-MRW FINAL JUDGMENT

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGEMENT

1	WHEREAC M 1 11 2016 DI	
1	WHEREAS, on March 11, 2016, Plaintiff Pom Wonderful LLC's ("Pom")	
2	only remaining cause of action against Defendant The Coca-Cola Company ("Coca-	
3	Cola"), which alleged a violation of section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C.	
4	§ 1125(a), came on for a jury trial before the above-entitled Court, the Honorable S.	
5	James Otero, United States District Judge, presiding, and a duly empaneled jury;	
6	On March 21, 2016, the jury found in favor of Coca-Cola and against Pom,	
7	finding that Pom had not proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the label or	
8	packaging of Coca-Cola's juice product, even if literally true, nevertheless misled a	
9	substantial portion of consumers.	
10	IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil	
11	Procedure 58, Plaintiff Pom Wonderful LLC shall take nothing and that judgment is	
12	hereby entered in favor of Defendant The Coca-Cola Company and against Plaintiff	
13	Pom Wonderful LLC.	
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Federal	
15	Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1), Defendant The Coca-Cola Company may recover	
16	its costs of suit taxed in this matter from Plaintiff Pom Wonderful LLC.	
17		
18		
19	Dated: March 29, 2016.	
20		
21	Hon. S. James Otero United States District Judge	
22	emied states Bistriet vauge	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		